

# FinnONTO—Building the Basis for a National Semantic Web Infrastructure in Finland

Eero Hyvönen

\*Semantic Computing Research Group  
Helsinki University of Technology (TKK), Laboratory of Media Technology  
University of Helsinki, Department of Computer Science  
<http://www.seco.tkk.fi/>  
[eero.hyvonen@tkk.fi](mailto:eero.hyvonen@tkk.fi)

## Abstract

This article presents an overview of the National Semantic Web Ontology project in Finland (FinnONTO), 2003-2007. The ambitious goal of this project is to lay a foundation for a national metadata, ontology, and ontology service framework in Finland, and to demonstrate its usefulness in practical applications in eCulture, eHealth, eGovernment, eLearning, and eCommerce.

## 1 Towards a National Semantic Web Infrastructure

The Semantic Web<sup>1</sup> is coming. It is a metadata layer that describes the contents and services on the web in a machine “understandable” way based on metadata schemas and ontologies. The idea is simple: if the machine understands the contents and services it is dealing with, then better interoperability of web systems can be obtained and intelligent services provided to the end-users.

The vision behind the National Semantic Web Ontology project in Finland (FinnONTO), 2003-2007<sup>2</sup> is that a conceptual “semantic infrastructure” is needed for the semantic web, in the same way as roads are needed for traffic and transportation, power plants and electrical networks are needed for energy supply, or GSM standards and networks are needed for mobile phones and wireless communication. A solid, commonly shared infrastructure would make it much easier and cheaper for public organizations and companies to create interoperable, intelligent services on the coming semantic web. In our view, the infrastructure should be open source and its central components be maintained by the public sector in order to guarantee wide usage and interoperability across different application domains and user communities. Business applications and public services can be built most cost-effectively based on such an infrastructure.

The industrial consortium behind the FinnONTO project includes 37 public organizations and compa-

nies funding the research<sup>3</sup>. This consortium represents a wide area of functions of the society including museums, libraries, health organizations, government, media, and education. The research is directed and is mostly carried out by the Semantic Computing Research Group (SeCo)<sup>4</sup> at the Helsinki University of Technology (TKK) and the University of Helsinki. Also the University of Tampere is contributing to the work.

The project aims at the following results:

1. Metadata standards. Nationally adapted standards for representing metadata in various applications fields are needed and are being created.
2. Core Finnish ontologies. We develop initial versions of a set of central national core ontologies in order to initiate ontology development processes in Finland. The idea is that after the research period, the participating organizations could continue developing the ontologies for machine and human usage instead of traditional thesauri. The most central ontology is the top ontology YSO (Hyvönen et al., 2005b) based on the general Finnish keyword thesaurus YSA<sup>5</sup> (some 23,000 terms), maintained by the National Library of Finland. Resources in YSO will be used and shared by a set of other, mutually interdependent vertical domain ontologies.

<sup>3</sup>Most of the funding comes from the the National Technology and Innovation Agency Tekes. This is the largest project consortium ever funded by Tekes.

<sup>4</sup><http://www.seco.tkk.fi/>

<sup>5</sup><http://vesa.lib.helsinki.fi>

<sup>1</sup><http://www.w3.org/2001/sw/>

<sup>2</sup><http://www.seco.tkk.fi/projects/finnonto/>

3. Public ontology services. An ontology library and web service framework ONKI is being developed (Komulainen et al., 2005) to enable ontology usage in ontology development, indexing, and information retrieval, through public web services.
4. Open source tools. A tool developed within project is OntoViews (Mäkelä et al., 2004; Viljanen et al., 2006; Mäkelä et al., 2006), a framework for creating semantic search and browsing services based on the multi-facet search paradigm. A key bottleneck of the proliferation of the semantic web is production of metadata. For this purpose, a number of semiautomatic content annotation tools are being developed.
5. Pilot applications. The above framework is being evaluated by implementing a number of practical applications in the domains of eCulture (Hyvönen et al., 2006), eHealth (Holi et al., 2006b), eGovernment (Sidoroff and Hyvönen, 2005), eLearning (Känsälä and Hyvönen, 2006), and eCommerce (Laukkanen et al., 2004).

In goals 1–3, semantic web recommendations and best practices of using them are being developed as national adaptations of international similar efforts. We envision that provision of these results as usable public web services is needed to share contents, enforce common practices, and to support organizations in utilizing the new technologies. The project also eats its own “dog food” (goal 5) by creating a number of semantic portals and applications using the technology developed. These systems provide a public test bed for evaluating the usefulness of the infrastructure developed. For this purpose, a number of general purpose tools (goal 4) are being developed for content creation, semantic search, and browsing. In the following these goals and results obtained thus far are discussed in more detail.

## 2 Metadata Standards

Metadata standards typically tell what properties to use for content descriptions. For example, Dublin Core<sup>6</sup> lists 15 elements such as Title, Creator and Subject. Content interoperability across different application domains is obtained by using commonly agreed elements. In FinnONTO, metadata standards are being developed and adapted in several application fields.

<sup>6</sup><http://dublincore.org>

In the eCulture domain, a metadata scheme for representing museum artifact collection metadata was developed and is in use in the semantic portal “MuseumFinland—Finnish Museums on the Semantic Web”<sup>7</sup> Hyvönen et al. (2005a). The scheme is being developed further in the follow-up system “CultureSampo—Finnish Culture on the Semantic” (Hyvönen et al., 2005a; Junnila et al., 2006) that addresses the problem of semantic interoperability of different kinds of cultural contents and metadata schemas. In geoinformatics, the project has participated in creating an official national metadata recommendation for spatial information (JHS 158, 2006). In eHealth, metadata for health promotion and services are in focus, and in eLearning, a national scheme based on the Learning Object Metadata (LOM) standard is being developed for a general semantic learning portal.

Metadata standards are essential for the semantic web but not enough for semantic interoperability. Here the problem is standardization of the *values* used in the commonly agreed metadata elements. For semantic interoperability on the web, large shared reference ontologies are needed. For example, in the MuseumFinland system, the values of the Artifact-Type, Material, Creator, PlaceOfCreation, and other properties of a collection artifact are taken from a set of seven ontologies. They contain some 10,000 resources that define the meaning of individual persons, organizations, artifact types, locations, actions etc. Their meaning is shared between the different museums providing the collection metadata content, which enables semantic interoperability of contents. This idea is similar to the Open Directory Project<sup>8</sup>, where the reference ontology contains over 590,000 categories.

Semantic interoperability on the semantic web is based on ontologies (Fensel, 2004; Staab and Studer, 2004). In focused domains and applications it may be possible to agree upon common ontological concepts, but on a larger cross-domain setting, this usually becomes more difficult. Different domains and applications may need different ontological representations even for the same real world objects, and different parties tend to have different philosophical opinions and needs on how to model the world. As a result, there is the danger that the global semantic web will not emerge but rather a set of isolated, mutually incompatible semantic web islands.

There are various complementary approaches for

<sup>7</sup>Operational at <http://www.museusuomi.fi> with an English tutorial.

<sup>8</sup><http://www.dmoz.org>

making semantic web ontologies interoperable. First, ontology mapping and alignment (Hameed et al., 2004) can be used for mapping concepts with each other. Second, ontologies can share and be based on common foundational logical principles, like in DOLCE<sup>9</sup>. This easily leads to complicated logical systems (e.g., modal logics may be needed) that may not scale up either epistemically or computationally to real word situations and practical usage. Third, horizontal top ontologies, such as the IEEE SUMO<sup>10</sup> can be created for bridging the concepts between vertical domain ontologies. Fourth, ontology engineering support systems for creating ontologies in the first place as interoperable as possible can be created.

### 3 Core Finnish Ontologies

FinnONTO encourages organizations to start transforming thesauri into ontologies (van Assem et al., 2004). The problem with thesauri, such as YSA, is that its semantic relations have been constructed mainly to help the indexer in her work. Understanding the relations needs human knowledge. The computer could make use of the semantic structure in many application areas, such as semantic search, information retrieval, semantic linking of contents, automatic indexing, and in making contents semantically interoperable. However, this is difficult, because the computer does not have the human knowledge of an indexer.

The idea of using ontologies is to define the meaning of indexing terms and concepts accurately enough for the machine to use. Even with little extra work, e.g. by just systematically organizing concepts along class hierarchies and paronymies, substantial benefits can be obtained.




Figure 1: An example of broader term hierarchy in the YSA thesaurus.

For example, consider the example of figure 1 translated from the YSA thesaurus, where BT indi-

<sup>9</sup><http://www.loa-cnr.it/DOLCE.html>

<sup>10</sup><http://suo.ieee.org/>

cates the “broader term” relation used in thesauri. We can easily understand its meaning but the machine is confused: Is Halley’s Comet an individual or a class of them, such as Comet? Can there be many Halley’s comets or only one? Is a comet a kind of solar system or a part of a solar system? Is it a part as a concept or are all individual comets a part of some solar system? Do comets have the properties of solar systems, e.g. own planets, based on the BT relation. Should a search for solar systems retrieve comets although comets are not solar systems?

Thesauri are widely used for harmonizing content indexing. Different domain fields have thesauri of their own. The thesauri are typically developed by domain specific expert groups without much systematic collaboration with other fields. When using such thesauri in cross-domain environments, such as the web, semantic problems arise, e.g., due to ambiguity of literal word expressions. For example, in the finance domain the term “bank” has an obvious meaning as an institution, but when considering the nature or musical instrument domains, there are other meanings. In semantic web ontologies, the ambiguity problem is solved by dealing with unambiguous resources identified by URIs instead of literal words. However, support is needed for sharing the URIs across domains and users. If one needs to define the notion of “river bank”, one should be aware of not to mix this concept with “money bank”. On the other hand, if one is defining the notion of “blood bank”, it is possible to use the more general notion of “bank” and modify it, thus sharing this common notion with other kind of banks considered in other ontologies.

In our work, YSA is being developed into the national top ontology YSO. Since YSA is widely used in Finnish organizations, YSO would be an important step in solving semantic indexing and interoperability problems in Finland. The thesaurus-to-ontology transformation of YSO is being performed by enriching the semantic structures of YSA in the following way:

1. Disambiguate individuals from classes. In figure 1, for example, Halley’s comet would be an individual of the type celestial body. It is not a solar system but a part of a solar system.
2. Disambiguate major concept meanings. For example, in YSA there is the indexing term “child”. However, this term has several meanings such as “a certain period of human life” or “a family relation”. For example, I am not a child anymore but still a child of my mother.

The computer cannot understand this unless the meanings are separated and represented as two entries (URIs) in completely different parts of the ontology. However, also the general concept of child encompassing both meanings can be useful for indexing purposes when both meanings are intended at the same time.

3. Refine and disambiguate the major meanings or BT, NT (narrower term), and RT (related term) relations. For example, the BT relation between Halley's comet and solar system is an instance-class relation while the BT relation between comet and solar system is a part-of relation. We consider, in the first version of YSO, only simple type, subclass, and part-of relations.
4. Check transitivity of semantic relation chains. For example, if an individual is an instance of a class, then it is a member of all its superclasses and inherits their properties. In our example, Halley's comet is a comet but is not a solar system although there is a BT relation chain between the two concepts.
5. Reorganize and complete the structure into a simple taxonomic ontology. All concepts in the ontology should be connected into a class structure in order to facilitate inference about their relations, and in order to provide the end-user with useful classifications.




Figure 2: The thesaurus structure of figure 1 represented more accurately as a simple ontology.

For example, the thesaurus example of figure 1 could be represented more appropriately as depicted in figure 2.

In FinnONTO, we share the vision of the IEEE SUO Working Group<sup>11</sup>: a shared top ontology is needed for enhancing semantic interoperability between various domain ontologies. In Finland the YSA thesaurus is widely used for content indexing

<sup>11</sup><http://suo.ieee.org>

in libraries, museums, and archives of various kinds both in public and in the industry. It contains some general 23,000 terms and is organized as a typical thesaurus. In addition, the terms are divided into 61 domain groups, such as Physics, History etc. Since the terms of YSA are used in various vertical domain ontologies, YSA can be considered as a kind of semantic terminological “glue” between many other Finnish thesauri.

Once the structure of the top ontology is defined, the same choices can be reused in many cases in the vertical ontologies that typically share lots of concepts with the top ontology. For example, when we created the cultural ontology MAO based on the Finnish Cultural thesaurus MASA (Leskinen, 1997) of some 6,000 terms, about 2,000 terms could immediately be mapped on YSA. We now work on the Argiforest thesaurus (7000 terms) where thousands of terms originate from YSA, too <sup>12</sup>.

## 4 Public Ontology Services

FinnONTO project will create a set of mutually interdependent ontologies. Support is needed in order to manage the interdependencies and for using relevant subsets of ontologies in indexing and information retrieval. The YSO ontology and the set of related ontologies will be published by a public Ontology Library Service ONKI (Komulainen et al., 2005). It provides services for three user groups (cf. figure 3):

1. For ontology developers, ONKI provides the collaborative ontology development and versioning environment (Valo et al., 2005).
2. For content indexers, ONKI provides a web-based browser for finding desired concepts and for transporting the corresponding URI from the ONKI server into external applications.
3. For information searcher, ONKI browser can be used for finding and disambiguating keyword meanings, and for transporting the corresponding URIs into search engines and other applications.

For example, by typing in “bank” the browser could find the different meanings of the word and shows them to the user. After this the right intended meaning can be selected by clicking on it. As a side effect, the corresponding URI is read into the application and can be used for searching. Using

<sup>12</sup><http://www-db.helsinki.fi/agri/agrisanasto/Welcomeng.html>




Figure 3: ONKI ontology library provides services to three user groups.

such concept-based search is feasible in applications such as MuseumFinland supporting ontology-based information retrieval. A demonstration illustrating ONKI's indexing services is available online<sup>13</sup>.

## 5 Open Source Tools

The project has developed the OntoViews tool (Makelä et al., 2004) including the semantic search engine Ontogator (Mäkelä et al., 2006) and the recommendation server Ontodella (Viljanen et al., 2006) for creating semantic portals. In our work we have generalized the multi-facet search paradigm for using semantic web ontologies, reasoning, and standards (Hyvönen et al., 2004). The first application demonstrating the usability of our tool set was the MuseumFinland portal that was awarded with the international Semantic Web Challenge Award (2. prize) in 2004, and with the Prime Minister's acknowledgment as the most innovative web application in a national competition.<sup>14</sup> Since then, the tool has been extended and applied in various other demonstrational portals (Mäkelä et al., 2005a) to be discussed in the next section in more detail.

Creation of metadata is a central bottleneck in fulfilling the vision of the semantic web. In order to enable distributed metadata annotation by using centralized ontology servers, we have created a proto-

type of the SAHA annotation editor (Valkeapää and Hyvönen, 2006).

The project also develops tools for semiautomatic content annotation, such as Terminator used for term extraction, and Annomobile for matching keywords with ontology URIs (Hyvönen et al., 2005a). At the moment, natural language processing techniques are being developed for creating a tool for annotating text documents (Holi et al., 2006a).

## 6 Pilot Applications

The technology developed has and is being applied to case studies in several application domains in order to test its feasibility<sup>15</sup>:

### 6.1 eCulture

"CultureSampo—Finnish Culture on the Semantic Web" (Hyvönen et al., 2006; Junnila et al., 2006) is the next generation of the MuseumFinland portal. The idea of the system is investigate how cultural contents of different kinds could be made semantically interoperable and be published by a shared publication channel on the semantic web. Content types under study include photographs, fine art, videos, folk poetry, documents, such as manuscripts and biogra-

<sup>13</sup><http://www.seco.tkk.fi/applications/onki/>

<sup>14</sup>The software and contents, as used in this portal, are available at <http://www.seco.tkk.fi/projects/semweb/dist.php>.

<sup>15</sup>In 2007, there will also be some new case studies in the FinnONTO project related, e.g., to (semi)automatic annotation and semantic searching and browsing of textual articles.

phies, web pages, and cultural process descriptions, such as handcraft and farming.

## 6.2 eHealth

Terve suomi.fi (Holi et al., 2006b) is the pilot version of a coming national health promotion portal that is being developed in a larger project managed by the National Public Health Institute (KTL). The contents of the portal will be created in a distributed way by a variety of Finnish health organizations facilitated by the tools, such as SAHA, provided by FinnONTO.

A central research and development topic here is to study how content from other content repositories and portals, such as the governmental citizen's portal Suomi.fi, could be integrated automatically with Terve suomi.fi. Reusing contents would eliminate unnecessary duplication of content work in various governmental organizations, and would enrich the services from the end-user's viewpoint.

We also do research on uncertainty in ontologies (Holi and Hyvönen, 2006b) and fuzzy ontology mapping in order map end-user's vocabularies with complicated medical ontologies (Holi and Hyvönen, 2006a).

## 6.3 eGovernment

Suomi.fi<sup>16</sup> is the official citizen's information portal provided and maintained by the Ministry of Finance and a number of public organizations in Finland. We created a demonstration of a semantic version of Suomi.fi (Sidoroff and Hyvönen, 2005). The main idea here was to show, that by using shared ontologies, metadata of the web contents and services of different organizations could be integrated automatically into a seamless repository. Different orthogonal views to the content can be provided for the end-user, and mutually related data aggregated along the views and categories in them. For example, the end-user may search the contents based on a classification view of major events in human life, such as "Baby is born". Information related to this event can automatically be gathered from a variety of heterogeneous organizations of health care, social support, legal administration, church etc.

## 6.4 eLearning

Opintie is an extension of the current Orava system (Känsälä and Hyvönen, 2006) created for the video and learning object materials of the national Finnish

Broadcasting Company YLE. Our goal is to create a demonstration of an open publication channel for learning materials. As in Terve suomi.fi, the content will be provided by a variety of parties using the ONKI ontology library, its services, and tools provided by FinnONTO. The content focus is initially on high school materials and (Finnish) history. The contents will be automatically integrated with relevant semantic content created in other parts of FinnONTO, especially in CultureSampo.

Another demonstration system called Opas is a demonstration of how ontology techniques can be used to support help-desk services, both in indexing and in information retrieval (Vehviläinen et al., 2006). The system is based on an ontologized version of HKJL, the Finnish library subject heading taxonomy used by the Helsinki City Library, combined with the YSO ontology. The dataset is a collection of indexed question-answer pairs of the national public "Ask the librarian" -service<sup>17</sup>, where librarians answer to email questions of their customers. The service are connected with various online services in order to enrich the answers automatically with links to related material on the semantic web.

## 6.5 eCommerce

Veturi is a demonstration of semantic yellow pages (Mäkelä et al., 2005b). Here the idea was to model services and products offered by companies and the public sector with ontologies, and to provide the user with semantic searching facilities in order to ease the problem of finding matching offerings for customer needs. The dataset used was based on the yellow page data of Fonecta Ltd (over 200,000 entries) used in the commercial 020202.fi<sup>18</sup> yellow pages service, and a database of public health services. An innovation of this development was the idea of semantic autocompletion (Hyvönen and Mäkelä, 2006) where user input keywords are completed automatically into full search categories and concepts based on the underlying ontologies and reasoning.

## 6.6 Online tools

ONKI library with its services is a demonstration of how ontologies can help in utilizing their own usage. In addition to YSO and its various vertical extension ontologies, an ontology service for the Finnish geographical names (over 800,000 entries) is being de-

<sup>16</sup><http://www.suomi.fi>

<sup>17</sup><http://www.kirjastot.fi/tietopalvelu>

<sup>18</sup><http://020202.fi>

signed and implemented based on the database provided by the National Land Survey of Finland.

A related effort in the geography domain is to model historical changes of Finnish cities, communes, and counties (Kauppinen and Hyvönen, 2006). This model will be used in CultureSampo. The dataset used is based on a database created originally at the Geological Survey of Finland (GTK).

We also demonstrate how distributed content creation can be facilitated by an annotation editor SAHA<sup>19</sup>. This editor, combined with the ONKI ontology library server, is in trial use in our eHealth application case TerveSuomi.fi.

## 7 Discussion

The main idea of the FinnONTO-project is to try to build a national infrastructural foundation for the coming semantic web by establishing a large research consortium representing universities, public organizations and companies, and by working collaboratively together on the national level. Feasibility of the technology developed is tested by several practical application case studies. The international standards and research are guiding the work, but due to language barriers and various national conventions, adaptation and application is needed.

We emphasize the idea that the technology and solutions should be transferred from the universities to the participating organizations and companies. For this purpose, many researchers from the funding organizations have been working with the research team at the university for creating the ontologies and the semantic content of the applications.

Our work focuses on the applied side of the semantic web. In our view, demonstrations of good practical semantic web applications are still largely missing but needed in order to convince public organizations and companies to strive for the era of the semantic web.

## Acknowledgments

Some 30 researchers working in the FinnONTO team at various periods of time have contributed to the work discussed above. FinnONTO project is currently funded by the National Technology and Innovation Agency Tekes and the following public and private organizations (in alphabetical order and in Finnish): AAC Global Oy, Antikvaria-group, CelAmanzi Oy, Connexor Oy,

Eduskunta, Elisa Oyj, Espoon kaupunginmuseo, Geologian tutkimuskeskus GTK, Grip Studios Interactive Oy, Helsingin kaupunginkirjasto, Helsingin yliopiston Viikin tiedekirjasto, Kansalliskirjasto, Kansanterveyslaitos, Kustannus Oy Duodecim, Maa- ja metsätalousministeriö, Maanmittauslaitos, M-Cult ry, Museovirasto, Opetushallitus, Opintoluotsi-projekti/OPM, Osuuspankkikeskus OSK, Sanoma Data Oy, Sanastokeskus TSK ry, Sininen Meteoritti Oy, Sosiaaliportti-projekti/Stakes, Stakes (luokituskeskus), Suomalaisen Kirjallisuuden Seura, Suomen maatalousmuseosäätiö, Suomen valokuvataiteen museo, Taideteollisen korkeakoulun kirjasto, Terveystieteiden tutkimuskeskus Tekry, TietoEnator Oy, Valtion taidemuseo, Valtiovarainministeriö, Veljekset Karhumäki Oy, and Yleisradio Oy.

## References

- D. Fensel. *Ontologies: Silver bullet for knowledge management and electronic commerce (2nd Edition)*. Springer-Verlag, 2004.
- A. Hameed, A. Preese, and D. Sleeman. Ontology reconciliation. Staab and Studer (2004).
- M. Holi and E. Hyvönen. Fuzzy view-based semantic search. In *Proceedings of the 1st Asian Semantic Web Conference (ASWC2006), Beijing, China*. Springer-Verlag, September 3-7 2006a.
- M. Holi and E. Hyvönen. *Modeling Uncertainty in Semantic Web Taxonomies*. Springer-Verlag, 2006b. To be published.
- M. Holi, E. Hyvönen, and P. Lindgren. Integrating tf-idf weighting with fuzzy view-based search. In *Proceedings of the ECAI Workshop on Text-Based Information Retrieval (TIR-06)*, Aug 2006a.
- M. Holi, P. Lindgren, O. Suominen, K. Viljanen, and E. Hyvönen. TerveSuomi.fi - a semantic health portal for citizens, June 20 2006b. Poster paper, 1st Asian Semantic Web Conference (ASWC2006).
- E. Hyvönen, S. Saarela, and K. Viljanen. Application of ontology based techniques to view-based semantic search and browsing. In *Proceedings of the First European Semantic Web Symposium, May 10-12, Heraklion, Greece, (forthcoming)*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2004.
- E. Hyvönen, E. Mäkela, M. Salminen, A. Valo, K. Viljanen, S. Saarela, M. Junnila, and S. Kettula.

<sup>19</sup><http://www.seco.tkk.fi/applications/saha/>

- MuseumFinland – Finnish museums on the semantic web. *Journal of Web Semantics*, 3(2):224–241, 2005a.
- E. Hyvönen and E. Mäkelä. Semantic autocompletion. In *Proceedings of the first Asia Semantic Web Conference (ASWC 2006), Beijing*. Springer-Verlag, New York, August 4-9 2006.
- E. Hyvönen, T. Ruotsalo, T. Häggström, M. Salminen, M. Junnila, M. Virkkilä, M. Haaramo, T. Kauppinen, E. Mäkelä, and K. Viljanen. CultureSampo — Finnish culture on the semantic web. The vision and first results. In *Semantic Web at Work - Proceedings of the 12th Finnish Artificial Intelligence Conference STeP 2006, Volume 1.*, Nov 2006.
- E. Hyvönen, A. Valo, V. Komulainen, K. Seppälä, T. Kauppinen, T. Ruotsalo, M. Salminen, and A. Ylisalmi. Finnish national ontologies for the semantic web - towards a content and service infrastructure. In *Proceedings of International Conference on Dublin Core and Metadata Applications (DC 2005)*, Nov 2005b.
- M. Junnila, E. Hyvönen, and M. Salminen. Describing and linking cultural semantic content by using situations and actions. In *Semantic Web at Work - Proceedings of the 12th Finnish Artificial Intelligence Conference STeP 2006, Volume 1.*, Nov 2006.
- T. Kauppinen and E. Hyvönen. Modeling and reasoning about changes in ontology time series. In R. Kishore, R. Ramesh, and R. Sharman, editors, *Ontologies: A Handbook of Principles, Concepts and Applications in Information Systems*. Springer-Verlag, Dec 2006. In press.
- V. Komulainen, A. Valo, and E. Hyvönen. A tool for collaborative ontology development for the semantic web. In *Proceedings of International Conference on Dublin Core and Metadata Applications (DC 2005)*, Nov 2005.
- T. Käsälä and E. Hyvönen. A semantic view-based portal utilizing Learning Object Metadata, August 2006. 1st Asian Semantic Web Conference (ASWC2006), Semantic Web Applications and Tools Workshop.
- M. Laukkanen, K. Viljanen, M. Apiola, P. Lindgren, E. Mäkelä, S. Saarela, and E. Hyvönen. Towards semantic web-based yellow page directory services. Nov 2004.
- E. Mäkelä, E. Hyvönen, and S. Saarela. Ontogator — a semantic view-based search engine service for web applications. In *Proceedings of the 5th International Semantic Web Conference (ISWC 2006)*, Nov 2006.
- E. Mäkelä, E. Hyvönen, S. Saarela, and K. Viljanen. OntoViews – a tool for creating semantic web portals. In *Proceedings of 3rd International Semantic Web Conference (ISWC 2004), Hiroshima, Japan*, November 2004.
- E. Mäkelä, E. Hyvönen, and T. Sidoroff. View-based user interfaces for information retrieval on the semantic web. In *Proceedings of the ISWC-2005 Workshop End User Semantic Web Interaction*, Nov 2005a.
- E. Mäkelä, K. Viljanen, P. Lindgren, M. Laukkanen, and E. Hyvönen. Semantic yellow page service discovery: The veturi portal. In *Poster paper, 4th International Semantic Web Conference*, Nov 2005b.
- T. Sidoroff and E. Hyvönen. Semantic e-government portals - a case study. In *Proceedings of the ISWC-2005 Workshop Semantic Web Case Studies and Best Practices for eBusiness SWCASE05*, Nov 2005.
- S. Staab and R. Studer. *Handbook on ontologies*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2004.
- O. Valkeapää and E. Hyvönen. A browser-based tool for collaborative distributed annotation for the semantic web. September 26 2006. 5th International Semantic Web Conference, Semantic Authoring and Annotation Workshop, November, 2006.
- A. Vehviläinen, E. Hyvönen, and O. Alm. A semi-automatic semantic annotation and authoring tool for a library help desk service. In *Proceedings of the first Semantic Authoring and Annotation Workshop, ISWC-2006, Athens, GA, USA*, November 2006. To be published.
- K. Viljanen, T. Käsälä, E. Hyvönen, and E. Mäkelä. Ontodella - a projection and linking service for semantic web applications. In *Proceedings of the 17th International Conference on Database and Expert Systems Applications (DEXA 2006), Krakow, Poland*. IEEE, September 4-8 2006.