



MITÄ HELPOMPI PÄÄSY ASIAKIRJOIHIN JA PÄÄTÖSTEN SYNTYPROSESSIIN, SITÄ PAREMPI OIKEUSVALTIO



**World Justice
Project**

Finland

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.87	3/31	3/43	3/140
Score Change	Rank Change		
-0.01 ▾	0		

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
 Constraints on Government Powers	0.91	-0.01	3/31	3/43	3/140
 Absence of Corruption	0.89	-0.01	4/31	5/43	5/140
 Open Government	0.86	-0.01	3/31	3/43	3/140
 Fundamental Rights	0.89	-0.01	3/31	3/43	3/140
 Order and Security	0.91	0.00	7/31	10/43	10/140
 Regulatory Enforcement	0.86	-0.01	3/31	3/43	3/140
 Civil Justice	0.81	-0.01	7/31	7/43	7/140
 Criminal Justice	0.83	0.00	2/31	2/43	2/140



* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High

Factor 2
*Absence of
Corruption*



- 2.1 Government officials in the executive branch do not use public office for private gain
- 2.2 Government officials in the judicial branch do not use public office for private gain
- 2.3 Government officials in the police and the military do not use public office for private gain
- 2.4 Government officials in the legislative branch do not use public office for private gain

Factor 3
*Open
Government*



- 3.1 Publicized laws and government data
- 3.2 Right to information
- 3.3 Civic participation
- 3.4 Complaint mechanisms

United States


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.71	19/31	26/43	26/140
Score Change	Rank Change		
0.01▲	1▲		

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.69	0.01	21/31	27/43	28/140
Absence of Corruption	0.73	0.01	15/31	22/43	22/140
Open Government	0.76	0.00	14/31	16/43	16/140
Fundamental Rights	0.68	0.02	25/31	34/43	37/140
Order and Security	0.83	0.02	22/31	29/43	31/140
Regulatory Enforcement	0.72	0.01	16/31	22/43	22/140
Civil Justice	0.63	0.01	22/31	32/43	36/140
Criminal Justice	0.61	0.01	22/31	29/43	30/140

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Albania


Region: Eastern Europe and Central Asia

Income Group: Upper-Middle

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.49	10/14	28/42	87/140
Score Change	Rank Change		
0.00	-4 ▼		

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.43	0.00	8/14	34/42	105/140
Absence of Corruption	0.36	0.00	12/14	35/42	107/140
Open Government	0.46	-0.01	9/14	29/42	84/140
Fundamental Rights	0.59	0.01	6/14	20/42	63/140
Order and Security	0.78	0.00	8/14	11/42	50/140
Regulatory Enforcement	0.42	-0.01	13/14	39/42	112/140
Civil Justice	0.46	-0.02	13/14	33/42	98/140
Criminal Justice	0.40	0.00	8/14	26/42	81/140



* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High

Russian Federation

Region: Eastern Europe and Central Asia


Income Group: Upper-Middle

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.45	13/14	37/42	107/140

Score Change	Rank Change
-0.02 ▼	-6 ▼

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.33	-0.01	12/14	37/42	130/140
Absence of Corruption	0.41	-0.01	10/14	29/42	90/140
Open Government	0.47	-0.02	8/14	28/42	82/140
Fundamental Rights	0.40	-0.03	12/14	38/42	122/140
Order and Security	0.68	-0.01	14/14	27/42	91/140
Regulatory Enforcement	0.46	-0.02	6/14	30/42	92/140
Civil Justice	0.51	-0.02	6/14	22/42	74/140
Criminal Justice	0.30	-0.01	14/14	38/42	123/140



* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



VENETSIAN KOMISSION OIKEUSVALTION TARKISTUSLISTA

A. Laillisuus

5. Lainsäädäntömenettelyt

Onko lainsäädäntömenettely läpinäkyvä, vastuullinen, osallistava ja demokraattinen?


- i. Koskevatko lainsäädäntömenettelyä selvät perustuslaissa olevat säännöt?
- ii. Onko kansanedustuslaitoksella ylin valta päättää lainsäädännön sisällöstä?
- iii. Keskusteleeko kansanedustuslaitos lainsäädäntöehdotuksista julkisesti, ja perustellaanko ne asianmukaisesti (esim. selitysmuistioiden avulla)**
- iv. Ovatko lainsäädäntöluonnokset julkisesti saatavilla viimeistään siinä vaiheessa, kun ne annetaan kansanedustuslaitoksen käsiteltäväksi? Onko yleisöllä tosiasiallinen mahdollisuus vaikuttaa luonnoksiin?**
- v. Arvioidaanko lainsäädännön vaikutuksia (esim. ihmisoikeus- ja budjettivaikutuksia) tarvittaessa ennen sen säätämistä?
- vi. Osallistuuko kansanedustuslaitos kansainvälisten sopimusten laadintaan, hyväksymiseen, voimaansaattamiseen ja täytäntöönpanoon?



“People like Putin, and Steve Bannon for that matter, understand it’s not necessary for people to believe this information in order to weaken democratic institutions.

You just have to flood a country’s public square with enough raw sewage. You just have to raise enough questions, spread enough dirt, plant enough conspiracy theorizing that citizens no longer know what to believe. Once they lose trust in their leaders, in mainstream media, in political institutions, in each other, in the possibility of truth, the game’s won.”

Barack Obama 21.4.2022, keynote-puhe Stanfordin yliopistossa



KITOS!



Tuija Brax

Johtaja

Oikeusvaltiokeskus

tuija.brax@helsinki.fi

+358 2941 23201